Message Text

PAGE 01 TOKYO 12054 01 OF 02 281742Z

53

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 ISO-00 CAB-02 CIAE-00 COME-00

DODE-00 DOTE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 FAA-00 L-03 PA-01

PRS-01 USIA-06 IO-10 /057 W

----- 121892

R 280915Z AUG 75 FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2865 INFO AMCONSUL MONTREAL AMEMBASSY LONDON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 2 TOKYO 12054

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: EAR, JA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

SUBJ: CIVAIR: NOISE LEVY

MONTREAL FOR US REP ICAO

REF: TOKYO 11398 (NOTAL)

1. SUMMARY. EMBASSY HAS BEEN INFORMED THAT GROUP OF AT LEAST
11 INTERNATIONAL AIR CARRIERS PLANS FILE JOINT LEGAL ACTION
AGAINST GOJ EARLY MID-SEPTEMBER TO TEST LEGALITY NOISE LEVY.
LEGAL FIRM HANDLING CASE AND TWO OF U S CARRIERS INVOLVED PAN
AM AND NORTHWEST HAVE ASKED USG TO MAKE SIMULTANEOUS DIPLOMATIC
APPROACH TO GOJ. REQUEST DEPARTMENT'S CONCURRENCE IN EMBASSY'S
INITIATING AND PARTICIPATING IN JOINT DIPLOMATIC APPROACH.
END SUMMARY.

2. ON AUGUST 27, EMBOFF VISITED BY REPS OF NW AND PAN AM AND TWO ATTORNEYS FROM LOCAL LAW FIRM OF BLAKEMORE AND MITSUKI RE GOJ NOISE LEVY TO BE IMPLEMENTED FROM SEPT. 1. ATTORNEY BROCKMAN STATED HIS FIRM RECENTLY RETAINED BY 11 INTERNATIONAL AIR CARRIERS, LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 TOKYO 12054 01 OF 02 281742Z

INCLUDING PAN AM NW AND FLYING TIGER TO FILE SUIT CHALLENGING, UNDER JAPANESE DOMESTIC LAW, LEGALITY OF NEW NOISE LEVY. ATTORNEY STATED THAT CARRIERS NATURALLY CONCERNED OVER COST TO THEM OF NEW MEASURE,

THE PROBABLE IMPLEMENTATION BY OTHER FAR EAST GOVTS. (PARTICULARLY HONG KONG) OF SIMILAR LEVIES, AND THE FACT THAT THE UNILATERAL GOJ MEASURE UNFORTUNATE AS ICAO COUNCIL COULD CONSIDER MULTILATERAL APPROACH TO AIRCRAFT NOISE PROBLEM AT ITS MEETING NEXT MONTH.

3. BROCKMAN STATED FIRM PLANS DUAL CHALLENGE TO LEGALITY OF LEVY:

A) IMPOSITION OF THE SPECIAL CHARGE EXCEEDS THE
AUTHORITY GRANTED THE MINISTER OF TRANSPORT BY ARTICLE
11 OF THE AIRPORT ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS CONTAINED
IN MOT ORDER #44 OF 1952. THIS ARTICLE GRANTS THE
MINISTRY (JCAB) AUTHORITY TO SET VARIOUS CHARGES FOR
THE USE OF PUBLIC AIRPORTS: E.G., TAKE-OFF, PARKING,
LIGHTING ETC. FEES. AS THE NEW CHARGE IS BASED ON
NOISE, NOT ACTUAL USE OF AIRPORT FACILITIES, THE MOT
DOES NOT, SO THE SUIT WILL CONTEND, HAVE THE LEGAL
AUTHORITY TO SET IT.

B) IF THE COURT RULES THE MOT HAS AUTHORITY TO LEVY
THE NOISE CHARGE, IT WILL BE CHALLENGED AS BEING
"UNEQUITABLE AND UNREASONABLE" ON THE GROUNDS THAT
MONEY PAID FOR USE OF HANEDA WILL BE CHANNELED TO
THE RELIEF OF OSAKA (CROSS-SUBSIDY). PAN AM
AND NW MAINTAIN THAT BECAUSE OF THE CROSS-SUBSIDY
SYSTEM THEY WILL PAY 17.5 TIMES AS MUCH IN SPECIAL
NOISE FEES AS THEY WOULD IF THE MONEY WERE USED TO
FINANCE NOISE ABATEMENT COSTS AT TOKYO ONLY. BROCKMAN'S
FIRM ALSO PLANS TO PRESENT AN ANALYSIS OF THE JCAB
AIRPORT DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET SHOWING THAT THE NEW LEVY
SHIFTS MUCH OF THE COST BURDEN OF MAINTAINING THE
PUBLIC AIRPORTS TO THE CARRIERS.

4. LEGAL FIRM ALSO PLANS TO INTRODUCE ARGUMENT THAT IMPOSITION OF THE SPECIAL NOISE CHARGE ""VIOLATES THE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 TOKYO 12054 01 OF 02 281742Z

INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS" OF JAPAN BUT FORESEES THE COURT'S REFUSING TO CONSIDER THIS QUESTION ON GROUNDS IT SHOULD BE DETERMINED AT DIPLOMATIC LEVEL.

5. BROCKMAN SUMMARIZES BY REITERATING THAT THE CARRIERS ARE MOST CONCERNED BY THE PRECEDENT BEING SET BY THE GOJ: SINCE THE COURT CASE COULD TAKE 2-3 YEARS AND THE CARRIERS WILL BEGIN PAYING THE CHRGE FROM SEPT. 1, HE ASKED WHETHER, SIMULTANEOUSLY WITH THE COURT ACTION, THE USG WOULD MAKE A STRONG APPROACH TO THE GOJ ASKING THAT, AT LEAST, THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NOISE LEVY BE DELAYED UNTIL THE SEPTEMBER ICAO

MEETING HAS THE CHANCE TO DEVELOP A MULTILATERAL APPROACH TO THE NOISE PROBLEM.

6. EMBOFF STATED WE HAD ALREADY PRESENTED STRONG NOTE ON SUBJECT TO GOJ PER DEPARTMENT INSTRUCTIONS (TOKYO 10180) AND THAT OUR PROTEST HAD APPARENTLY HAD LITTLE EFFECT. (FONOFF REPLY HAS NOW BEEN RECEIVED AND IS BEING TRANSLATED). EMBOFF ASKED IF OTHER GOVERNMENTS WERE TAKING CTION.

7. BROCKMAN REPLIED THAT TOKYO REPS OF AIR INDIA, BRITISH AIRWAYS AND CANADIAN PACIFIC PLANNED APPROACH THEIR RESPECTIVE EMBASSIES TO REQUEST SAME TYPE DIPLOMATIC SUPPORT SOUGHT FROM USG.

8. ACTION REQUESTED: WE BELIEVE GOJ SETTING DANGEROUS PRECEDENT BY ESTABLISHING NOISE LEVY BASED ON THEIR

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 TOKYO 12054 02 OF 02 281306Z

53

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 ISO-00 CAB-02 CIAE-00 COME-00

DODE-00 DOTE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 FAA-00 L-03 PA-01

PRS-01 USIA-06 IO-10 /057 W

----- 117565

R 280915Z AUG 75 FM AMEMBASSY TOKYO TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0000 INFO AMCONSUL MONTREAL AMEMBASSY LONDON AMEMBASSY PARIS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 2 TOKYO 12054

OWN PARTICULAR METHOD OF CALCULATING NOISE LEVEL AND AIRCRAFT WEIGHT AND THAT PAN AM AND NORTHWEST, BECAUSE OF THEIR FLIGHT FREQUENCIES AND SIZE OF AIRCRAFT, WILL BE ESPECIALLY HARD HIT FINANCIALLY. (THESE TWO CARRIERS ALONE WILL SUPPOSEDLY PAY OVER 50 PERCENT OF FUNDS COLLECTED UNDER THE NEW FEE.)

9. ALTHOUGH WE HAVE NOT YET RECEIVED TRANSLATION OF FONOFF REPLY OUR NOTE, IT APPEARS POINT BY POINT REBUTTAL HAS BEEN MADE. THEREFORE, BEST TACK AT THIS JUCTURE, WOULD BE A JOINT DIPLOMATIC APPROACH TO THE GOJ. WE PROPOSE, PROVIDING THE DEPARTMENT CONCURS, TO WORK

WITH EMBASSIES OF OTHER AFFECTED CARRIERS TO CONFIRM OUR PROTEST TO FONOFF SIMULATANEOUS WITH THE FILING OF CIVIL SUIT. (WE HAVE ALREADY INFORMALLY SOUNDED OUT BRITISH EMBASSY ON SUCH ACTION AND THEY MAY BE WILLING TO PARTICIPATE THOUGH THEY HAVE OTHER MORE IMPORTANT BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH JCAB COMING UP.) EMBASSY WOULD A) REITERNATE OUR CONCERN OVER THE ARBITRARY METHOD OF COMPUTING THE FEE B) THE UNFAIRNESS OF THE CROSSSUBSIDY AND C) THE UNTIMLINESS OF THIS UNILATERAL GOJ LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 TOKYO 12054 02 OF 02 281306Z

ACTION GIVEN THE POSSIBILITY OF DEVELOPING A MULTILATERAL APPROACH TO THE NOISE PROBLEM AT THE ICAO COUNCIL MEETING NEXT MONTH OR THE OECD WORKING GROUP ON NOISE POLUTION IN NOVEMBER. (EMBOFF UNFAMILIAR WITH ICAO COUNCIL AND OECD PROCEDURES BUT ASSUME THE SUBJECT OF DEALING WITH AIRCRAFT NOISE COULD BE INRODUCED BY US REPS FOR MULTILATERAL DISCUSSION ON URGENT BASIS.) WE WOULD ASK THE GOJ T RESCIND THE TAX AND, IF THIS NOT ACCEPTABLE, TO AT LEAST SUSPEND IT UNTIL THE ICAO OR OECD GROUP HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK OUT A MULILATERAL APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM.

10. REQUEST DEPARTMENT'S CONCURRENCE IN THE ABOVE PLUS ANY FURTHER ARGUMENTATIVE POINTS YOU FEEL WE CAN USEFULLY MAKE. ALSO REQUEST, PROVIDED IT IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROCEDURES, DEPARTMENT INSTRUCT US REPS ICAO AND OECD TO RAISE SUBJECT OF AIRCRAFT NOISE AT NEXT MEETINGS SO THAT MULTILATERAL APPROACH TOWARD SOLVING PROBLEM CAN BE DEVELOPED. HODGSON

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: CIVIL AVIATION, AIRCRAFT, NOISE POLLUTION, LAW

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 28 AUG 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: MorefiRH
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975TOKYO12054

Document Number: 1975TOKYO12054
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a

Film Number: D750298-0548

From: TOKYO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750898/baaaafxz.tel Line Count: 199 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EB Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: MorefiRH

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 07 MAY 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <07 MAY 2003 by ifshinsr>; APPROVED <07 MAY 2003 by MorefiRH>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE Subject: n/a

TAGS: EAIR, JA, ICAO
To: STATE INFO MONTREAL

LONDON PARIS Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006